

The Honorable Jon W. Dudas 7/-6-

DEC 28 2005

c) The main object of the invention is to provide for a motor-vehicle seat *at least one* energy absorber which withstands the intrusion of a vehicle door (side), deformed by impact force in a real-world side accident, absorbs it and transmits the rest into the vehicle floor, thus achieving work of deformation and friction associated with decreasing the impact energy and intrusion and thereby lowering injury severities. Logically, *only* the energy absorbers, which are definitely the *single* feature, are suited therefor and grouped into the species 1, 5 to 11 with the rotatable device and the species 2 to 4. Both are defined by two independent Claims 1 and 2. See Claims (footnote "EE-US 09/125,445-141103"), submitted to you on Nov. 17.

d) Having the same opinion, the examiners of the European and Canadian Patent Office granted patent thereon and issued EP 0844939 B1 and CA 2,230,721, dated 2004/01/13. Please see the Claims of CA 2,230,721, which are the same as the Claims (footnote "EE-CA-141103-amended"), submitted to you on Nov. 17.

e) The US-Patent Docs, some of which are listed in my registered letter of Nov. 17, have many species (embodiments), on which no examiners have imposed restriction.

Etc.

Despite failing to respond to the above-mentioned arguments and others, all of which are listed in my letter, they sent me a Notice of Abandonment. There is a need to file a letter of complaint to Under Secretary Dudas!

A22) Similarly, Mrs. Ruth Ilan and Mr. Dickson sent me a Notice of Abandonment regarding 09/101,838.

Before going on vacation she assured me she was willing to amend the claims! There is a need to file a letter of complaint to Under Secretary Dudas!

A23) The above-mentioned examples document how Mr. Dickson has influenced his examiners and cooperated with them to terminate my patent appls. by issuing Notices of Abandonment. Have you any explanation why Mr. Dickson cited three greatest pieces of junk in the history of USPTO for a single patent application 10/690,742 and why Mr. Dunn

1. at first, granted patent thereon,
2. later on, withdrew it by citing together with Mr. Dickson the third greatest piece of junk and
3. finally, granted patent thereon, after I have filed a letter of complaint and petition to Under Secretary Dudas?

DUDAS 301205

Dr.-Ing. Gök Dijen Go
Pfahlgartenstr. 45
D-65510 Idstein
Germany

The Honorable Jon W. Dudas 7/-7-

A24) Should we write a script "Granting patent, Withdrawal of Allowance and Granting patent again" for Hollywood and promote Mr. Dickson to a Hollywood Star in charge of


1. issuing Notices of Abandonment to all my patent appls, on all of which DPMA, EPO and/or CIPO have granted patent,
2. requesting the subdivision of a patent appl. into **eleven species** and?
3. one day ordering me to subdivide a patent appl. into **forty species** because of 40 figures, as with EP 1 147 029 B1?

As a US Green-Card Holder and having worked for US Corps I am able to outline all my objections with the American way of thinking and fight off injustice in compliance with President Thomas Jefferson.

Please reply to all my objections from A1 to A24, that substantiate the incorrectness, inaccuracy, improperness and wrongfulness of your decision (E29a), which has nothing to do with my petition (E28)!

Thank you for your attention and all your help in advance.

Kind regards



Dr. Go

Dr. G. Glok Dijen Go
Niedlgrabenstr. 45
D-65510 Idstein
Germany

DUDAS 301205